

Spectrophotometric Determination of a Trace Amount of Cobalt(II) in Nickel(II) Solution

Mutsuo KODAMA

Department of Chemistry, Ibaraki University, Mito, Ibaraki

(Received June 8, 1968)

Previously,^{1,2)} we studied the substitution reactions of Eriochrom Black T (BT) with cobalt(II)- and nickel(II)-EDTA chelates spectrophotometrically, and determined the reaction mechanism and rate constants.

The reaction of BT with cobalt(II)-EDTA chelate was found to proceed much faster than that with nickel(II)-EDTA chelate. In the mixture of nickel(II)- and cobalt(II)-EDTA chelates, the reaction of BT may proceed through both nickel(II)- and cobalt(II)-EDTA chelates. Therefore, in nickel(II)-EDTA solution contaminated with a trace amount of cobalt(II)-EDTA chelate, the rate at which the concentration of BT decreases would be much greater than that in the pure nickel(II)-EDTA chelate solution. Hence, even a trace amount of cobalt(II) in the nickel(II)-EDTA solution may be estimated by determining the increase in the apparent rate at which the concentration of BT decreases.

As stated previously,^{1,2)} both reactions of BT with cobalt(II)- and nickel(II)-EDTA chelate are first-order in BT and first-order in metal(II)-EDTA chelate. Consequently, the initial rate of the substitution reaction, $-(d[BT]/dt)_0$, in the mixed solution of nickel(II)- and cobalt(II)-EDTA chelate containing uncomplexed EDTA can be expressed as,

$$-\left(\frac{d[BT]}{dt}\right)_0 = (k_{Ni} \cdot [NiY^{2-}] + k_{Co} [CoY^{2-}]) \cdot [BT]_0 \quad (1)$$

where k_{Ni} and k_{Co} are the conditional second-order rate constants of the reaction of BT with pure nickel(II)-EDTA chelate and that with pure cobalt(II)-EDTA chelate, respectively. Both k_{Ni} and k_{Co} values increase with increasing pH value of the solution.^{1,2)}

Alternatively, one can define the initial reaction rate as,

$$-\left(\frac{d[BT]}{dt}\right)_0 = k \cdot [MY^{2-}]_t \cdot [BT]_0 \quad (2)$$

where $[MY^{2-}]_t = [NiY^{2-}] + [CoY^{2-}]$.

Combining the above two equations, one can derive the following relation.

$$[CoY^{2-}] = \frac{k - k_{Ni}}{k_{Co} - k_{Ni}} [MY^{2-}]_t \quad (3)$$

This relation clearly shows that when the total concentration of cobalt(II)- and nickel(II)-EDTA chelates is known, the concentration of cobalt(II)-EDTA chelate in the nickel(II)-EDTA chelate solution, $[CoY^{2-}]$, can be estimated from k , k_{Ni} and k_{Co} values determined experimentally. The concentration of cobalt(II) in the nickel(II)-EDTA solution was estimated with the aid of Eq. (3) using k , k_{Ni} and k_{Co} values determined at pH 9.60. Typical results are given in Table 1, which can

TABLE 1. CALCULATION OF $[CoY^{2-}]$ WITH THE AID OF Eq. (3)

$\mu = 0.30$, 15°C
 $\lambda = 630\text{ m}\mu$, $\text{pH} = 9.60$
 $k_{Co} = 1.74 \times 10^4$, $k_{Ni} = 3.58 \times 10^{-2}$

k	$[MY^{2-}]_t$ mM	$[CoY^{2-}]$ mM	
		added	found
1.98×10^{-1}	5.05	5.0×10^{-2}	4.7×10^{-2}
3.76×10^{-1}	5.10	1.0×10^{-1}	1.0×10^{-1}
7.22×10^{-1}	5.20	2.0×10^{-1}	2.1×10^{-1}
5.28×10^{-2}	10.46	1.0×10^{-2}	$1.0 \times 10^{-2*}$
7.10×10^{-2}	10.47	2.0×10^{-2}	2.1×10^{-2}
1.24×10^{-1}	10.50	5.0×10^{-2}	5.3×10^{-2}
2.09×10^{-1}	10.55	1.0×10^{-1}	1.1×10^{-1}

* This value is less accurate.

be regarded as satisfactory. k_{Ni} and k 's used in the calculation of $[CoY^{2-}]$ were determined from the initial slope of absorbance vs. time curves, because the absorbance decreases almost linearly with time in the reaction of BT with pure nickel(II)-EDTA, and with nickel(II)-EDTA contaminated with a small amount of cobalt(II). On the other hand, k_{Co} was estimated from the slope of the linear relation between $\log(A_0/(A - A_{CoD}))$ ¹⁾ and time t , because the reaction of BT with cobalt(II)-EDTA chelate proceeds so rapidly that the initial slope cannot be determined accurately.

Apparatus, detailed experimental procedures and the preparation of standard solutions used in this study were as described in previous papers.^{1,2)}

1) M. Kodama, This Bulletin, **40**, 2575 (1967).

2) M. Kodama, C. Sasaki and M. Murata, *ibid.*, **41**, 1333 (1968).